Bursting oscillations from a homoclinic tangency in a time delay system
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We describe a type of bursting oscillations arising in a model of reciprocally connected neurons, where a time delay has been introduced to account for synaptic and propagation delays. We show that in this system bursting oscillations appear at an infinite period bifurcation characterized by a homoclinic tangency to a limit cycle. Such homoclinic bursting phenomena are characterized by a logarithmic lengthening of the period, which could be measured from experimental time series.

The bursting oscillations are among the different types of oscillating behaviors observed in biological systems, such as in the R15 neuron of the Aplysia [1], or in insulin secreting cells [2]. In chemical systems, such as the Belousov–Zhabotinski reaction [3], this type of oscillations have also been observed. A wide variety of nonlinear systems of first order differential equations also show similar types of oscillations [4–6].

Bursting behavior is characterized by the alternance of a “silent phase” and an “active phase” during each period of the oscillation [2]. The active phase actually consists of the transient apparition of “fast” oscillations of a short period compared to the period of the oscillation, which is referred to as “slow”. The silent phase is usually characterized by a monotonic time evolution.

In some cases, one may separate the dynamical variables of the system into two subsets, the “fast subsystem” and the “slow subsystem”, on the basis of their characteristic time scales. In this case, the fast subsystem is responsible for the transient oscillations of the active phase, whereas the slow subsystem underlies the slow oscillating process which allows the system to alternate between active and silent phases.

In many cases of bursting, the treatment of the slow variables as parameters allows one to understand qualitatively the dynamical mechanism underlying the bursting oscillations [6]. According to this singular perturbation approach, the bifurcation diagram of the fast subsystem is studied as a function of the parameters corresponding to the subsystem. In this scheme, a period of the bursting oscillations corresponds to a hysteresis loop. The bursting trajectory jumps alternately between a stable steady branch, characterizing the silent phase, and a limit cycle branch, which underlies the active phase [6].

In the present paper, we present bursting oscillations based on a different mechanism. We introduce first the model of coupled neurons in which this type of oscillations appear. We then show how a homoclinic tangency to an unstable limit cycle may explain the observed properties of these oscillations. Finally, we compare this system to other types of bursting phenomena and we give the main features which could allow an experimental identification of this type of bursting.

The model consists of a system of excitatory and inhibitory neurons which have self-connections as well as reciprocal connections. Such a system is described by the following set of delay differential equations.
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\[ \frac{dX}{dt} = -g_L(X-V_L) - g_{IE}(X-V_E)F(X(t-\tau)) \]
\[ -g_{IE}(X-V_I)F(Y(t-\tau)) \]
\[ \frac{dY}{dt} = -g_L(Y-V_L) - g_{EI}(Y-V_E)F(X(t-\tau)) \]
\[ -g_{II}(Y-V_I)F(Y(t-\tau)) \]

where \( X \) and \( Y \) represent the membrane potential of an excitatory and of an inhibitory neuron respectively, \( C_m = 1 \mu F/cm^2 \) is the specific membrane capacitance, \( g_L = 0.25 \) mS/cm\(^2\) is the leakage conductance, and \( V_L = -60 \) mV is the leakage potential. The fraction of excitatory or inhibitory cells active per unit of time.

Renormalizing the conductances by \( C_m \) leads to the following set of parameters (in ms\(^{-1}\)): \( \gamma = g_L/C_m \), \( \Omega_1 = g_{IE}/C_m \), \( \Omega_2 = g_{IE}/C_m \), \( \Omega_3 = g_{EI}/C_m \) and \( \Omega_4 = g_{II}/C_m \). The values used in this paper are \( \Omega_2 = \Omega_3 = 5 \) ms\(^{-1}\) and \( \Omega_4 = 0 \). \( \Omega_1 \) is the main parameter of the model. It is important to note that similar behavior is observed for a very wide range of these parameters and the values given here are therefore representative of the system.

As indicated by fig. 1, this model exhibits multiple steady states. The lower steady branch corresponds
which arises from an infinite period bifurcation have been observed in chemical systems [13].
least squares fitting is $\lambda = 0.1299 \pm 0.0014$ ms$^{-1}$ (other parameters are given in the caption of fig. 4).

If we refer to the scheme of the Poincaré section of the system (fig. 3), then one can deduce that, close to the critical point, the successive iterates follow the unstable direction of the limit cycle (indicated by $U$ in fig. 3c). These particular iterates correspond to the escape from the unstable limit cycle. The distance between each iterate and the unstable cycle should evolve exponentially, with an argument approaching $\lambda t$. Therefore, sufficiently close to the critical point, studying the successive iterates of LC1 on a Poincaré section should allow one to estimate the positive eigenvalue $\lambda$ of LC2. This value must be compared with that obtained from relation (2).

We realized a first return map of the system by considering the successive maxima $X_m(t)$ of the variable $X$ (fig. 5). This quantity seems to obey the following relation,

$$X_m(t) \approx X_{\text{max}} \exp[\lambda(t-t_0)],$$

where $X_{\text{max}}$ and $t_0$ are constants. If we assume that $X_{\text{max}}$ represents the amplitude of LC2, then the argument of the exponential constitutes an estimation of the eigenvalue corresponding to the unstable direction of LC2. The value obtained from least squares fitting (fig. 5) is $\lambda = 0.128 \pm 0.002$ ms$^{-1}$. A similar value is also obtained from the same procedure applied to the variable $Y$.

The value of $\lambda$ obtained from this first return map

![Fig. 3](image-url) Schematic representation of a homoclinic tangency to an unstable limit cycle. The limit cycle (LC1) approaches progressively an unstable limit cycle (LC2) and tends to a homoclinic orbit (H) at the critical point when LC1 and LC2 are merging. A two-dimensional Poincaré section is schematized. This section is transverse to the limit cycle LC2 and includes the two lowest directions of the stable (S) and unstable (U) manifolds of LC2.

(a) Close to the critical point, bursting oscillations are seen. The successive iterates of the trajectory of LC1 (black dots) approach LC2. (b) At the critical point, the limit cycle tends to a homoclinic orbit and the period is infinite. (c) Successive iterates represented in the XY plane, for each maximum of the variable $X$ during one cycle of the oscillation of fig. 2d. The successive iterates approach and accumulate near LC2 before escaping (U).

![Fig. 4](image-url) Logarithmic scaling of the period near the critical point. The period $T$ is represented as a function of the parameter $\Omega_1$. The values $T_0 = 282.3 \pm 0.8$ ms, $\lambda = 0.1299 \pm 0.0014$ ms$^{-1}$ and $\Omega_1^* = 6.7186215 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ were estimated from least squares fitting. Integration step $10^{-8}$ ms.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Successive maxima of the variable $X$ during the end of the active phase. The maxima of $X$ are represented as a function of time for a value of $\Omega_1 = 6.718617$ close to the critical point $\Omega_1^*$. The exponential least squares fitting of these points was realized and the following parameters were obtained: $X_{\text{max}} = -7.85 \pm 0.63$ mV, $t_0 = 1100 \pm 2.6$ ms and $\lambda = 0.128 \pm 0.002$ ms$^{-1}$. Integration step $10^{-3}$ ms.
of the system is remarkably close to that obtained from period measurements using relation (2). The coincidence of these two values confirms that the bursting oscillations are based here on a homoclinic tangency to an unstable limit cycle.

As a conclusion, we have described a novel type of bursting oscillations which appear in a model of coupled neurons with time delay. Neuronal bursting, such as in the R15 neuron of the Aplysia [1], usually results from the combination of several active intrinsic currents, and therefore is typically a property of the single cell. On the other hand, the oscillations presented here are due to the interaction between several cells. The combination of leakage current, synaptic currents and time delays are the basis of the type of bursting oscillations described here.

We show that the mechanism underlying this type of oscillations is a homoclinic tangency to an unstable limit cycle. Similar types of bifurcation have been described previously in nonlinear systems with time delays (see for example ref. [20]). Let us mention also a recent work [21] on the transition between chaotic bursting and continuous spiking in a class of three-dimensional ODE models of excitable membranes. However, the model we describe here is a two-dimensional delay differential equation system without chaotic behavior in the studied region of parameters. Nevertheless, both the present paper as well as ref. [21] use homoclinic orbits but at different levels of the analysis. In the present model, we assume a unique and global homoclinic orbit to a limit cycle in the full time delay system (1) although, in ref. [21], a homoclinic orbit to a fixed point is assumed in a 2D fast subsystem at the singular limit ($\epsilon = 0$) of the 3D ODE system of ref. [21]. To be complete, let us add here that global homoclinic orbits also exist in the full 3D ODE system of ref. [21] by a general mechanism similar to the homoclinic tangency to a Smale horseshoe in ODEs of ref. [16]. For further discussion, see ref. [22].

If this system is generalized to a network of excitatory and inhibitory neurons, oscillatory behavior can turn into spatiotemporal chaos if $\Omega_i$ is increased (cf. refs. [9–11]). Preliminary results [10,11] indicate that in this case, the apparition of spatiotemporal chaos also seems to be related to homoclinic phenomena.

Comparing this type of bursting oscillations to previously described mechanisms [6] shows that, here also, a “slow” oscillatory process is associated to the stable limit cycle LC1, whereas the unstable limit cycle LC2 is characterized by a faster time scale. On the other hand, in this system, the “fast” oscillation characterizing the active phase of the bursting oscillation is not associated to a stable oscillating branch in the fast subsystem. It rather corresponds to an approach to an unstable oscillating state.

The characteristic logarithmic scaling of the period near the critical point allows an identification of homoclinic phenomena from the analysis of experimental time series [17]. In the case described here, the lengthening of the period also follows such a logarithmic law. Therefore, if such bursting phenomena can be observed experimentally, the measure of the scaling of the period near the critical point should allow the identification of this type of bifurcation.
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