Variability of quantal synaptic currents in thalamocortical neurons
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Abstract

Broad amplitude variability and skewed distributions are characteristic features of quantal synaptic currents (minis) at central synapses. The relative contributions of the various underlying sources are still debated. Through computational models of thalamocortical neurons, we separated intra- from extra-synaptic sources. Our simulations indicate that the external factors of local input resistance and dendritic filtering generate equally small amounts of negatively skewed synaptic variability. The ability of these two factors to reduce positive skew increased as their contribution to variability increased, which in control trials for morphological, biophysical, and experimental parameters never exceeded 10% of the range. With these dendritic factors ruled out, we tested multiple release models, which led to distributions with clearly non-physiological multiple peaks. We conclude that intra-synaptic organization is the primary determinant of synaptic variability in thalamocortical neurons and, due to extra-synaptic mechanisms, is more potent than the data suggested. Thalamocortical neurons, especially in rodents, constitute a remarkably favorable system for molecular genetic studies of synaptic variability and its functional consequence.

© 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

In many neurons, quantal synaptic currents vary widely in peak amplitude. This amplitude variability is readily observed in somatic voltage clamp recordings following application of TTX, a sodium channel blocker that prevents action potential generation. Peak response amplitudes typically follow distributions that are broad, unimodal, and skewed towards low amplitude events (Edwards et al., 1990; Freerking et al., 1997; Stevens, 1993; Walmsley et al., 1998). This is true for large neurons (hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Brown et al., 1979; Ropert et al., 1990)), for compact neurons (retinal amacrine cells, cerebellar granule cells (Freerking et al., 1995; Silver et al., 1992)), and for bushy neurons (thalamocortical relay neurons (TCN) (Cox et al., 1997; LeFevre et al., 1997; Leresche, 1992; Ohara and Hlavata, 1994; Ohara et al., 1995; Ulrich and Huguenard, 1996; Zhang et al., 1997)).

Mechanisms that have been proposed to underly such distributions include intra-synaptic organization: (1) vesicle size/content, (2) re-uptake mechanisms, (3) cleft geometry, (4) receptor number, subtype, and modulation, and extra-synaptic processing, (5) activation of voltage gated conductances, (6) resistive-capacitive filtering, (7) site dependent local input resistance, (8) site dependent space clamp quality, and (9) temporal overlap of events.

Consensus has not developed regarding the relative importance of these sources of variability. Resistive-capacitive filtering accounts for half the amplitude range in some neurons (Rall, 1967, 1977; Spruston et al., 1994; Stuart and Spruston, 1998; Smolders and Nelson, 1994, 1995), yet certain individual intra-synaptic factors account for the entire distribution in others (Faber et al., 1992; Freerking et al., 1997; Nusser et al., 1997, 1998).

That the range and skew of amplitude histograms might be important in brain function may be inferred from the work of Turrigiano et al., where it has been shown that synaptic weights scale multiplicatively, in inverse proportion to the long term (48 h) level of synaptic activity (Turrigiano et al., 1998). Multiplicative plasticity preserves skew, implying that the distribution’s shape carries a functional significance at the level of networks.

Here, the pertinent network is comprised of reciprocal, collateral and intra-nuclear connections occurring between the neocortex, dorsal thalamus and ventral thalamus (Steriade et al., 1993). Other inputs include state dependent drives from the brainstem and basal forebrain (McCormick, 1992).
Inhibition onto thalamocortical neurons has one of two network functions depending on the behavioral state of the animal (Andersen and Eccles, 1962; Andersen and Sears, 1964; Destexhe et al., 1996, 1999ab; Sohal and Huguenard, 1998; Sohal et al., 2000). During quiet sleep, this GABA-ergic activity is generic and phasic, while during wakefulness, the activity is singular and tonic. The activity elicits rebound burst firing (Coulter et al., 1989; Crunelli et al., 1989; Jahnsen and Llinás, 1984b) that is essential for corticothalamic oscillations (Steriade et al., 1993) during sleep. During wakefulness, it sculpted dendritic processing of ascending sensory information (Funke and Eysel, 1998; Hicks et al., 1986, Lee and Ebner, 1992, Lee et al., 1994; Salt, 1989; Worgotter et al., 1998).

In many species, this inhibitory drive stems from several structures in the network and arrives on dendritic spines. This is not the case in the rodent ventrobasal thalamus, where inhibition derives from a single structure—the thalamic reticular nucleus—and occurs directly on dendritic shafts at synapses located throughout the arbor (Benson et al., 1992; Harris et al., 1987; Jones, 1991; Liu et al., 1995; Ohara and Lieberman, 1993; Sato et al., 1997, Williams and Faull, 1987). Moreover, the thalamic reticular nucleus is comprised of single class of neurons, as is the rodent ventrobasal thalamus, which makes it an ideal system for studying synaptic variability. Using computational models of the relay neurons of this structure, we have explored sources of synaptic variability and have contrasted them with in vitro data.

2. Method

A biocytin filled thalamocortical relay neuron (TCN) of the ventrobasal complex of young rat was digitized with 13 somatic and 1198 dendritic data points using light microscopy (60–100×) and a computerized tracing system (Eutecht Electronics, Raleigh, NC). Distance between data points was 6.0 ± 2.8 µm (µ ± σ). This reconstruction (Fig. 1A) has been used in our earlier work, where details may be found (Destexhe et al., 1999ab; Neubig, 1999; Neubig and Destexhe, 1997, 1999ab, 1999, 2000, 2001).

During reconstruction, 27 fictional data points had been used to accommodate junctions with more than 2 daughter branches. These were removed and the n-tuple junctions re-established in the final model, which comprised 178 branches totaling 7092 µm² in length and area. Additional arbor statistics are presented in (Fig. 8).

Final somatic area (2870 µm²) excluded the 301 µm² of fictional membrane circumscribed by the 11 dendritic trunks. Fictional area was calculated as \( \pi \times [c \times R_{soma}]^2 \), where the factor \( c = 1.5 \) was introduced to account for the curved transition between dendrite and soma. Trunks measured \( R_{trunk} = 1.8 ± 0.7 \mu m \), range 0.8–2.7 µm.

Distal dendrites of rodent ventrobasal TCNs have uniform diameters (Ohara and Hayton, 1994; Ohara et al., 1995). In the biocytin filled TCN, several places had periodic swellings. These were corrected by imposing a uniform diameter while conserving membrane area. To assess the corrections, simulations were run on morphological variants of the reconstructed arbor (Figs. 7 and 8). These simulations also served as controls for the slice, filling, fixation, and reconstruction processes, and established the pertinence of our conclusions to TCNs in general and to other neurons with similar dendritic architectures.

For numerical accuracy in the simulations, each branch was divided into \( k \) compartments of length \( l = L/k \), where \( L \) is the anatomical length; \( k \) the least integer such that \( l/k \leq b \)

\[
\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{g \times R_k} \times \frac{A}{\pi \times l^2 \times c^2}}
\]

Fig. 1. Dendritic morphology and synapse distributions: (A) thalamocortical relay neuron (TCN) of the ventrobasal complex of young rat was digitized with 13 somatic and 1198 dendritic data points using light microscopy (60–100×) and a computerized tracing system (Eutecht Electronics, Raleigh, NC). Distance between data points was 6.0 ± 2.8 µm (µ ± σ). This reconstruction (Fig. 1A) has been used in our earlier work, where details may be found (Destexhe et al., 1999ab; Neubig, 1999; Neubig and Destexhe, 1997, 1999ab, 1999, 2000, 2001).

During reconstruction, 27 fictional data points had been used to accommodate junctions with more than 2 daughter branches. These were removed and the n-tuple junctions re-established in the final model, which comprised 178 branches totaling 7092 µm² in length and area. Additional arbor statistics are presented in (Fig. 8).

Final somatic area (2870 µm²) excluded the 301 µm² of fictional membrane circumscribed by the 11 dendritic trunks. Fictional area was calculated as \( \pi \times [c \times R_{soma}]^2 \), where the factor \( c = 1.5 \) was introduced to account for the curved transition between dendrite and soma. Trunks measured \( R_{trunk} = 1.8 ± 0.7 \mu m \), range 0.8–2.7 µm.

Distal dendrites of rodent ventrobasal TCNs have uniform diameters (Ohara and Hayton, 1994; Ohara et al., 1995). In the biocytin filled TCN, several places had periodic swellings. These were corrected by imposing a uniform diameter while conserving membrane area. To assess the corrections, simulations were run on morphological variants of the reconstructed arbor (Figs. 7 and 8). These simulations also served as controls for the slice, filling, fixation, and reconstruction processes, and established the pertinence of our conclusions to TCNs in general and to other neurons with similar dendritic architectures.

For numerical accuracy in the simulations, each branch was divided into \( k \) compartments of length \( l = L/k \), where \( L \) is the anatomical length; \( k \) the least integer such that \( l/k \leq b \)

\[
\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1}{g \times R_k} \times \frac{A}{\pi \times l^2 \times c^2}}
\]
where $A$ is the compartment membrane area, $g$ the membrane conductance density, $R_s$ the axial resistivity, and $c$ the conversion factor. Setting $g$, $R_s$, $\delta$ to 39 $\mu$S/cm$^2$, 200 $\Omega$ cm, 0.01, led to 1416 compartments of length 0.0094 ± 0.0008 $\mu$m, range 0.010-0.0019, (5.00 ± 0.67 $\mu$m; range 1.00-1.10 $\mu$m). $R_s$ and $g$ had been obtained by fitting behavior to whole cell recordings from the same neuron (details in our previous studies, cited above). To establish the sufficiency of this resolution, additional simulations were run after dividing the branches at a higher resolution (Fig. 4D).

Soma to dendritic tip paths comprised 19 ± 6 compartments, ranging from 3 to 36. Considering the number of inhibitory synapses received by TCNs (Liu et al., 1995; Sato et al., 1997) and their uniform area density (Fig. 1B), inhibitory synapses received by TCNs (Liu et al., 1995; Sato et al., 1997) and their uniform area density (Fig. 1B), $\delta = 0.01$ corresponds to one synapse per compartment on average.

All trials were run under Linux on either Pentium II, III, or AMD Athlon machines using NEURON (Hines and Carnevale, 1997) with a fixed time step of 25 $\mu$s.

Synaptic currents were specified by:

$$I_{\text{pres}} = I_{\text{pres}}(t) = P \times \mathcal{W}(t)$$

$$I_{\text{pres}} = I_{\text{pres}}(t, V_m) = g_{\text{syn}}(t) \times (V_m - E_{\text{syn}})$$

where $V_m$, $V_{\text{syn}}$, $E_{\text{syn}}$ are the time, membrane and reversal potentials, $P$ is the peak amplitude

$g_{\text{syn}}(t) = c_1 \times (\mathcal{W}(t + \tau_c) - c_2)$

$\mathcal{W}(t) = e^{-t/\tau_{\text{dec}}(t/\psi)} - e^{-t/\tau_{\text{dec}}(t/\psi)}$

where $c_1$, $c_2$ and $\mathcal{W}$ translate and normalize $\mathcal{W}$

The leak current was defined as:

$$I_l = g_l \times m^2 h \times D(Ca^{2+}) \times [Ca^{2+}]_o \times V_m$$

**Activation:**

$$d m/d t = m - m_e$$

$$m_e = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(V_m - 85)/\theta_c}}$$

$$M_0 = (1 + e^{(V_m - 85)/\theta_c})/\theta_c$$

$$\psi = \sqrt{21}\,C/(10\,C)$$

**Inactivation:** analogous to activation, with

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{(V_m - 85)/\theta_i})/\theta_i}$$

Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz drive

$$D(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 \times \mathcal{P}(x_1) - x_2 \times \mathcal{P}(x_2)$$

$$J(x) = z \times F \times \frac{1}{e^q - 1}$$

$$\theta = z \times F \times \frac{V_m}{R(T + 273.15\,K)}$$

where $z$, $F$, $R$ are the valence, gas and Faraday constants.

The constants $v_i$ and $s_i$ were determined by fitting the equations to voltage clamp data recorded in thalamocortical neurons in vitro (Coquelle et al., 1989).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v_1$</th>
<th>$v_2$</th>
<th>$v_3$</th>
<th>$v_4$</th>
<th>$v_5$</th>
<th>$v_6$</th>
<th>$v_7$</th>
<th>$v_8$</th>
<th>$Q_{\text{io}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The leak current was given by:

$$I_{\text{leak}} = g_l \times (V_m - E_{\text{leak}})$$

Under TTX and excitatory amino acid blockers APV and CNQX/DNQX, miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in these neurons reverse near the chloride Nernst potential and are completely abolished by $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid α4 antagonist bicuculline (Ullrich and Huguenard, 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997).

$g_{\text{leak}}$ and $I_{\text{leak}}$ were constrained using mIPSC voltage clamp recordings from rat ventrobasal TCNs slices in vitro (LeFeuvre et al., 1997; Cox et al., 1997). Peak mIPSC amplitudes are 20 ± 1.6 pA (Ulrich and Huguenard, 1996), 27 ± 12 pA (LeFeuvre et al., 1997) and 12.2 ± 1.3 pA (Cox et al., 1997), which convert to 420, 440, and 270 pS, respectively. The former two are raw averages, the latter is the average (across cells) of the mean of the first normal mode. Times-to-peak average 2 ± 0.3 ms (LeFeuvre et al., 1997). The decay phase of averaged mIPSCs was fitted with single exponential functions, with time constants 13.9 ± 1.4 ms (20-22°C) (LeFeuvre et al., 1997).

Pipette solutions contained cesium, a potassium channel blocker, which approximately triples input resistance to 400 mΩ in rat ventrobasal TCNs in vitro (LeFeuvre et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1997). To set the model's $R_m$ in this range, $g_a$ was set uniformly to 13 $\mu$S/cm$^2$, which is 1/3 the level obtained when fitting with the cesium-free in vitro voltage clamp recordings taken from the same cell. This yielded an input resistance of 398 MΩ (~80 and ~85 mV) when a low threshold T-type calcium conductance was also included.

Temperature sensitivity of GABA$_A$ IPSC kinetics was set at $Q_{10} = 2.1$, which is in agreement with the 2.0 to 2.5 range for TCNs, as well as hippocampal granule cells (Huntsman and Huguenard, 2000; Otis and Mody, 1992; Warren et al., 1994). The reversal potential of the GABA$_A$ergic chloride conductance in TCNs is −81 ± 2.6 mV when the cation selective ionophore ganodemin is used to established perforated patches (Ullrich and Huguenard, 1997).
3. Results

We used computer models to examine possible sources of variability in miniature synaptic currents in thalamocortical neurons of the rodent ventrobasal complex. Variability was assayed by sampling the entire dendritic arbor: triggering one synapse per location and recording responses at the soma (Fig. 1A). With 1416 such compartments, this corresponded to one event every 5 μm of dendritic length, on average. We compared our assays with in vitro recordings of spontaneous quantal release at GABA-A type synapses (Cox et al., 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997; Ulrich and Huguenard, 1996). Although GABA-ergic currents are not always inhibitory, these events are commonly referred to as mIPSCs.

3.1. Synaptic currents

3.1.1. Synapse distributions

Raw datasets were then weighted to account for the density of GABA-ergic synapses at each location (Fig. 1B). Studies using reconstructive serial electron microscopy indicate that GABA synapses are located throughout TCN dendrites (Dr. Fumi Sato, personal communication), we found that the number of synapses per unit area is relatively constant (heavy lines). This is in contrast to glutamatergic synapses on TCNs, whose area densities have a strong dependence on distance from the soma. By factoring in dendrite diameter (Dr. Fumi Sato, personal communication), we found that the number of synapses per unit area is relatively constant (heavy lines). This is in contrast to glutamatergic synapses on TCNs, whose area densities have a strong dependence on distance (light lines).

3.1.2. Amplitudes and rise times

We first injected simulated miniature synaptic currents into dendritic sites and recorded the events with a somatic voltage clamp carrying 1 MΩ of series resistance. The injected current $I_{\text{pre}} = I_{\text{pre}}(t)$, followed a double exponential time course constrained with in vitro data at 21°C. In analogy to “mIPSC,” we call each recording an “mPRE,” where “PRE” signifies the “predefined” nature of these currents. By injecting the same current at all 1416 dendritic locations, these data sets define the resistive–capacitive filtering of the dendrites.

3.1.3. Comparison to in vitro data

A broader, 80 pA range of variability in peak amplitudes is observed in recordings of mIPSCs in thalamocortical neurons when studied under analogous voltage clamp and [Cl⁻] conditions (Fig. 2D). The modal peak of these in vitro distributions is at 12.2 ± 1.3 pA (Cox et al., 1997).

To show the relative breadth of mIPSC variability compared to mPRE variability, we have re-plotted in Fig. 2D the amplitude histogram of Fig. 2C. The mPRE variability gathers into a single stripe. Because we specified $I_{\text{pre}}$ as a predetermined current, local input resistance and escape voltages were irrelevant in these trials. Because we used identical $I_{\text{pre}}$, synapse heterogeneity was not an issue. In fact, resistive–capacitive filtering was the only factor giving rise to mPRE variability. We conclude that resistive–capacitive filtering represents a tiny source of synaptic variability in these neurons. For the robustness of this result, see subsequent control trials.

3.1.3. Comparison to in vitro data

A broader, 80 pA range of variability in peak amplitudes is observed in recordings of mIPSCs in thalamocortical neurons when studied under analogous voltage clamp and [Cl⁻] conditions (Fig. 2D). The modal peak of these in vitro distributions is at 12.2 ± 1.3 pA (Cox et al., 1997).

To show the relative breadth of mIPSC variability compared to mPRE variability, we have re-plotted in Fig. 2D the amplitude histogram of Fig. 2C. The mPRE variability gathers into a single stripe. Because we specified $I_{\text{pre}}$ as a predetermined current, local input resistance and escape voltages were irrelevant in these trials. Because we used identical $I_{\text{pre}}$, synapse heterogeneity was not an issue. In fact, resistive–capacitive filtering was the only factor giving rise to mPRE variability. We conclude that resistive–capacitive filtering represents a tiny source of synaptic variability in these neurons. For the robustness of this result, see subsequent control trials.

Note that resistive–capacitive filtering led to a larger number of large amplitude mPREs than small amplitude ones, as indicated by the skew in the amplitude histogram of Fig. 2C. In contrast, in vitro data show a preponderance of low amplitude events and such distributions have the opposite skew, as indicated in Fig. 2D. Skew will be discussed in subsequent sections as well as Section 4.

A similar mPRE/mIPSC comparison is not possible for rise times due to an absence of relevant in vitro data. However, given the approximately linear relation between rise time and amplitude (Fig. 2C), the in vitro rise time skew might be expected to be opposite to the rise time skew induced by resistive–capacitive filtering, but this is under the assumption that resistive–capacitive filtering is its primary determinant.

3.1.3. Comparison to in vitro data

A broader, 80 pA range of variability in peak amplitudes is observed in recordings of mIPSCs in thalamocortical neurons when studied under analogous voltage clamp and [Cl⁻] conditions (Fig. 2D). The modal peak of these in vitro distributions is at 12.2 ± 1.3 pA (Cox et al., 1997).

To show the relative breadth of mIPSC variability compared to mPRE variability, we have re-plotted in Fig. 2D the amplitude histogram of Fig. 2C. The mPRE variability gathers into a single stripe. Because we specified $I_{\text{pre}}$ as a predetermined current, local input resistance and escape voltages were irrelevant in these trials. Because we used identical $I_{\text{pre}}$, synapse heterogeneity was not an issue. In fact, resistive–capacitive filtering was the only factor giving rise to mPRE variability. We conclude that resistive–capacitive filtering represents a tiny source of synaptic variability in these neurons. For the robustness of this result, see subsequent control trials.

A similar mPRE/mIPSC comparison is not possible for rise times due to an absence of relevant in vitro data. However, given the approximately linear relation between rise time and amplitude (Fig. 2C), the in vitro rise time skew might be expected to be opposite to the rise time skew induced by resistive–capacitive filtering, but this is under the assumption that resistive–capacitive filtering is its primary determinant.

Fig. 2. Paradigm 1: synaptic variability due to resistive–capacitive filtering. Identical predetermined synaptic currents $I_{\text{pre}} = I_{\text{syn}}(t)$ were injected alternately into each of 1416 dendritic compartments $I_{\text{pre}}$ followed a double exponential time course, which we constrained with cesium based whole cell recordings of mIPSCs in TCNs of rat ventrobasal slice preparations maintained in vitro (Cox et al., 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997). These dendritic injections were recorded through a somatic voltage clamp. (A) Dashed trace depicts $I_{\text{pre}}$. Solid traces depict five example somatic voltage clamp recordings (mPREs). (B) Sites of five injections recorded somatically in (A). (C) mPRE data scatter plot, with marginal histograms. Each square marks the rise time and peak amplitude of the recording of an event at one of the compartments. The large crosshair marks the median rise time and median peak amplitude, with the closest mPRE defined as the median. Even though all injected currents were identical, their recordings were variable. This variability reflects how events at different dendritic sites are subjected to different degrees of low-pass filtering when viewed from the soma. The low-pass filtering is due to three factors: (1) membrane resistance, (2) membrane capacitance, and (3) morphology of the dendritic arbor. Note that the mIPSC amplitudes have the opposite skew of the mPRE amplitudes. Also note that the range of mPRE amplitudes is less than 10% of the range of mIPSC amplitudes. (D) Three histograms superimposed: one mPRE data set and two mIPSC data sets (Cox et al., 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997). Note the positive skew of mIPSC amplitude data. Each in vitro histogram is composed of several hundred events. Corrections were made for the differences in net ionic drive between the two studies; bin widths are 2 and 10 pA. (E) Bold open squares mark five synapses lying on and spanning the least squares line in (C). Uppermost square marks median in (C). Triangles and circles mark locations where the injected current resulted in an mPRE lying along the lines of the crosshair.

3.1.4. Dendritic organization

Next, we identified all dendritic locations that yielded an mPRE rise time close to the median (i.e. along the horizontal line of Fig. 2C). There were 36 such locations within the resolution of our numerical integration. These are marked as triangles in Fig. 2E. On the other hand, the circles in Fig. 2E mark the locations that yielded the 36 mPRE amplitudes closest to the median (i.e. along the vertical line of Fig. 2C). These two groups are patenty disjoint, indicating that resistive–capacitive filtering organizes the arbor in two distinct manners: phase and attenuation yield independent parameterizations of dendritic arbors (Neubig and Destexhe, 2001).

3.1.5. Rise times: 10–90% versus 0–100%

Due to noise, 0–100% rise times (times-to-peak) are difficult to extract from in vitro recordings; in Fig. 3 it is plotted against the 10–90% rise time. The diagonal relates the two under least squares constraint: $\Delta t_{10/90} = 0.62 \times \Delta t_{0/100} - 0.38$ ms. For reference, the median marked by the horizontal line is 2.18 ms (range 1.38–3.90 ms), while the median marked by the vertical line is 0.98 ms (range 0.45–1.95 ms).
3.2. Control trials: currents and parameters

In this section, we present control trials for a number of biophysical and experimental parameters.

3.2.1. Active membrane currents

Thalamocortical neurons contain many voltage gated conductances, notably the low threshold calcium current $I_t$ (Coulter et al., 1989; Crunelli et al., 1989). To verify that quantal events are too small to elicit $I_t$, we set membrane permeability to calcium uniformly at $8 \text{nA/m}^3/\text{ms}$ (Fig. 4A). This led to a 4.7 nA peak in the IV curve (not shown), which is midrange for intact TCNs (Destexhe et al., 1998a,b; Neubig and Destexhe, 1998a). Five trials were then run using $I_{pre}$ at the five locations specified by the bold squares in back in Fig. 2E. Recall that these locations were chosen because they yielded mPREs that spanned the full range of mPRE amplitudes and rise times. The new mPREs were nearly identical to those before—the differences being less than 0.3% in amplitude and less than 25% s in rise time. This indicates not only an absence of differential activation, but also an absence of any activation. TCNs also contain
interpretation is valid here since resistive–capacitive structure of the dendritic arbor. This in scatter at recordings are less accurate. But note that the reduction were generally smaller—indicating that high resistance afforded greater precision (Fig. 1C), they had been pharmacologically blocked.

3.2.2. Series resistance

We examined series resistance ($R_s$) by generating full data sets for $R_s = 1.0$ and 10 MΩ and five-point trials run at $R_s = 0.001$ and 5 MΩ (Fig. 3B). The broadest mPRE amplitude range, 2.3 pA, was obtained for ultra-low $R_s$. The range diminished to 11% of $I_{\text{pre}}$ for standard $R_s$. At $R_s = 5$ MΩ, the ranges no longer overlapped and at $R_s = 10$ MΩ, the range dropped below 3% of $I_{\text{pre}}$. The progression of ranges for rise time followed the same pattern.

Under high series resistance, $R_s = 10$ MΩ, amplitudes were generally smaller—indicating that high resistance recordings are less accurate. But note that the reduction in scatter at $R_s = 10$ MΩ indicates that higher resistances afforded greater precision ($I_{\text{pre}}$ was identical). The ratio of minor to major axes of the data’s bounding perimeter was 0.21 at $R_s = 1.0$ MΩ and 0.04 at $R_s = 10$ MΩ. The ratio of the two major axes was 2.1, and the ratio of the areas was 22.1.

If these recordings represent measurements of the actual synaptic currents (which were identical), then the recordings should be identical as well. In a situation where a given current appears without site dependency in the recordings, variability in the recordings reflects intra-synaptic organization and not extra-synaptic processing. In this sense, uncompensated $R_s$ recordings are preferable. Alternatively, the recordings could be interpreted as measurements of the resistive–capacitive structure of the dendritic arbor. This interpretation is valid here since $I_{\text{pre}}$ was identical. In this sense, maximally compensated $R_s$ recordings are preferable because the scatter then represents structure.

3.2.3. Temperature

We examined “bath temperature” next, because this modulates GABA_A receptor kinetics (Fig. 3C). Using a $Q_{10}$ of 2.1 (Huntsman and Huguenard, 2000; Ots and Mody, 1992; Warren et al., 1994) established faster $I_{\text{pre}}$'s at body temperature. This led to broader variability due to low-pass properties of arbors. Room temperatures led to more precise and more accurate recordings.

3.2.4. Discretization

We examined the adequacy of our numerical integrations by halving the time step ($\Delta t = 12.5$ p.s) and dividing each dendritic compartment in two ($N = 2833$) (Fig. 3D). Stronger tests could have been run by dividing each compartment by 3, 4, 5, 6, . . . then comparing data of the original compartment with data of an outermost new compartment within the original compartment. The enhanced resolution led to shifts in amplitude of less than 0.1% and shifts in rise time of at most one time step compared to the standard discretization. Note that the standard discretization matches the spatial resolution of the 3D reconstruction data. It also approximates the number of inhibitory synapses per TCN (Liu et al., 1995, Sato et al., 1997).

3.2.5. Biophysical parameters

Lastly, we examined input resistance ($R_m$) and capacitance ($C_m$) (Figs. 3E and 3F). Our standard $R_m = 319$ MΩ corresponds to in vitro recordings using cesium based solutions, as in our constraint data. A 23% increase in amplitude variability resulted when we decreased $R_m$ to 107 MΩ, which corresponds to in vitro conditions with cesium-free solutions. A 93% increase resulted when we decreased $R_m$ to 33 MΩ (white circles), which corresponds to in vivo recordings. Increasing $R_m$ to 3175 MΩ decreased the amplitude range by less than 10%. These trials indicate that pharmacological manipulations of $R_m$ have only a small effect on mPSC recordings in TCNs, implying that the choice of solutions is free to be based on other criteria.

Manipulations of $R_m$ were carried out by changing $g_K$, using factors of 1/10, 3 and 9. Therefore, these trials also characterize the influence of membrane resistivity, $C_m$, which has been shown to be relatively constant across different neuronal types (Genet et al., 2000), influenced amplitude variability to a similar extent, yet $C_m$'s influence on the rise time variability was much stronger.

3.3. Paradigm 2: recordings of dynamic clamp synaptic currents

In this section, we switch to a conductance model of miniature synaptic currents, $I_{\text{syn}}(t, V_m) = g_{\text{syn}}(t) \times (V_m - E_{\text{syn}})$ (Fig. 5). The analogous technique in vitro is called dynamic clamping (Sharp et al., 1992, 1993a,b), so we will refer to these currents as "$I_{\text{syn}}" and "mDYN". We use in vitro data to indirectly constrain the time course of conductance $g_{\text{syn}}$, a double exponential. By imposing the same conductance time course at each location, our mDYN data sets characterize the dendritic processing that is attributable to three factors: local variations in $R_m$ and space clamp, and resistive–capacitive filtering. Because resistive–capacitive filtering had been the only factor shaping mPRE data, the difference between the mPRE and mDYN data sets will characterize the joint impact of $R_m$ and space clamp on variability of miniature synaptic currents (later we will show that $R_m$ is eight times more potent than space clamp quality).

3.3.1. Amplitudes and rise times

The uppermost open square in Fig. 2E had marked the location with the median mPRE from Paradigm 1. To constrain the time course of $g_{\text{syn}}(t)$ for this paradigm, we relied on that location. With the reversal potential $E_{\text{syn}}$ set to reflect the elevated intra-cellular chloride concentration used in vitro, we refined the remaining parameters until $I_{\text{syn}}$ at the median location yielded an mDYN that matched...
Fig. 5. Paradigm 2: synaptic variability due to filtering, input resistance, and space clamp. Conductance based synaptic currents $I_{\text{dyn}} = I_{\text{syn}}(t, V_m)$ were injected alternately in each of 1416 dendritic compartments. The conductance time course $g_{\text{syn}}(t)$ was defined by a double-exponential time course constrained such that the location that had yielded the median mPRE (uppermost square in Fig. 2E) now yielded a matching mDYN. (A) Spatial variation in local $R_{\text{in}}$ and local space clamp quality led to a different $I_{\text{dyn}}$ at each location. Five example $I_{\text{dyn}}$s and mDYNs are given, corresponding to locations in Fig. 2B. Note the two-fold increase in variability of peak amplitude relative to Fig. 2A. (B) Perisynaptic escape voltages reflect space clamp quality and local $R_{\text{in}}$ (see also Fig. 9B4). (C) mDYN data scatter plot, with marginal histograms. Each square marks the rise time and peak amplitude of the recording of an event at one of the compartments. The large crosshair marks the median rise time and median peak amplitude, with the closest mDYN defined as the median. Even though the conductances used at all locations were identical, their recordings of their currents were variable. This variability reflects how conductance based events at different dendritic sites are subjected differentially to three factors: (1) local input resistance; (2) local space clamp quality, and (3) low-pass resistive-capacitive filtering when viewed from the soma. The low-pass filtering is due to three factors: (1) membrane resistance, (2) membrane capacitance, and (3) morphology of the dendritic arbor. Note that, like the mPREs, the mDYN amplitude data is negatively skewed. The dashed curved is a re-plot of the mPRE envelope in Fig. 2C. Note the two-fold increase in amplitude variability. (D) Three histograms superimposed: one mDYN data set and two mIPSC data sets (Cox et al., 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997). Note that the mIPSC amplitudes have the opposite skew of the mDYN amplitudes. Also note that the range of mDYN amplitudes is less than 10% of the range of mIPSC amplitudes. (E) Triangles and circles correspond to mDYNs closest to the median crosshair lines given in (C). Compare with Fig. 2B.

Applying this conductance time course at various locations led to synaptic currents that varied from site-to-site; examples are shown in Fig. 5A, where the five $I_{\text{dyn}}$s (dashed traces) correspond to the five dendritic locations marked in Fig. 2B. This type of synaptic variability is due to spatial variations in local $R_{\text{in}}$ and in voltage clamp quality. These factors had not been at work under the previous paradigm, where we had defined the synaptic current a priori. The somatically recorded mDYNs are depicted in Fig. 5A as (solid traces). Perisynaptic escape voltages—a term that refers to the local voltage transients that occur due to imperfect voltage/space clamp—are given in Fig. 5B. Escape voltages will also be reported in Fig. 8C4.

Synaptic $I_{\text{syn}}$s scattered over the entire arbor had peak currents varying between 13.3 and 14.3 pA, with a median of 13.9 pA. Recall that $I_{\text{pre}}$ had peaked at 14 pA (Fig. 2A). Here, distal $I_{\text{syn}}$s were smaller due to higher local $R_{\text{in}}$ and large escape voltages. These factors reduced the chemoelectric drive on the ions, and hence the their peak current. Rise times and times-to-peak of the $I_{\text{syn}}$, which ranged from 0.15 to 0.18 ms and 0.40 to 0.50 ms, were uniformly less than or equal to those of $I_{\text{pre}}$ (0.18 and 0.50 ms).
Through the somatic voltage clamp, $I_{syn}^{s}$ appeared as 10.0–13.0 pA mDYNs (Fig. 2C). This range, being 1.9 times broader than in Paradigm 1, indicates that site dependent local $R_{in}$ and site dependent space clamp quality approximately double the variability attributable to resistive-capacitive filtering. The median mDYN amplitude was 12.1 pA, whereas the median mPRE amplitude had been 12.3 pA. The median rise times and times-to-peak were identical between the two paradigms: 0.98 ms (range 0.45–1.95 ms) and 2.18 ms (range 1.38–3.85 ms).

3.3.2. Comparison to in vitro data

To show the relative breadth of mIPSC variability compared to mDYN variability, we copied onto Fig. 5D the amplitude histogram of Fig. 5C. Despite the two-fold increase in variability over Paradigm 1, the mDYN histogram collapsed to a single stripe. This indicates that together, resistive-capacitive filtering, local $R_{in}$, and local space clamp quality are tiny sources of synaptic variability in thalamocortical neurons.

As in the resistive-capacitive filtering scenario of Paradigm 1, a larger number of large amplitude mDYNs compared to small amplitude mDYNs were recorded here, even though new factors came into play ($R_{in}$ and space clamp). This led again to a negative skew in the amplitude histogram as can be seen in Fig. 5C. In vitro data (Fig. 3D) show the opposite asymmetry. In contrast, the skew and range of variability in rise time data (Fig. 5C) was similar to the mPRE data (Fig. 2C). Analogous in vitro data have not been published, although there are detailed kinetics for spontaneous IPSCs (Huntsman and Huguenard, 2000).

3.3.3. Dendritic organization

We tracked the locations that yielded mDYN rise times close to the median, i.e. along the horizontal line of Fig. 5C. These locations are marked by the 34 triangles in Fig. 5E, while the circles mark locations corresponding to the 34 mDYNs with amplitudes closest to the median, i.e. along the vertical line of Fig. 5C. As in Paradigm 1, these two sets are disjoint, indicating again that phase and attenuation constitute independent parameterizations of dendritic arbors (Neubig and Destexhe, 2001).

3.3.4. mPREs versus mDYNs

There was a qualitative difference between the mPRE and mDYN data sets (Figs. 2C and 5C). In each scatter plot, data lie along monotonic curves. Each curve corresponds to a single dendritic path. For mPREs, the curves are concave upward, indicating rise times increase in an exponential-like manner as a function of amplitude. For mDYNs, the curves are concave downward, indicating rise times saturate as a function of amplitude.

The qualitative difference between the mPRE and mDYN data sets can be seen in Fig. 6, where we have cross plotted their peak amplitudes and rise times and have overlaid their maps of median locations. The first panel clearly depicts (1) the doubling of variability in the dynamic clamp paradigm, and (2) grossly different structuring of the arbor by resistive-capacitive filtering compared to local $R_{in}$ and space clamp quality. The on-diagonal scatter in the left panel reflects the parameterization of the dendritic arbor created by resistive-capacitive filtering, while the off-diagonal scatter reflects the structure created by spatial variation in $R_{in}$ (in Fig. 9B4 we show that $R_{in}$ is eight times more potent than space clamp). The relative potency of these two factors vary from branch to branch, with the structure of terminal branches being dominated by $R_{in}$, whereas resistive-capacitive filtering dominates the structure in low order branches. The difference between them can be seen again in the third panel, where the two sets of median
peak amplitude locations coincide in a couple locations at most.
The temporal structure is shown in the middle panel where we have used large marks for the 10–90% rise time data so that they can be seen when behind the 0–100% rise time data. The minute amounts of off-diagonal scatter indicate that resistive–capacitive filtering (which again generates the on-diagonal scatter) dominates the temporal parameterization of the arbor compared to local $R_C$ and space clamp quality. This can be seen in the third panel as well, where the two sets of median rise time locations coincide in all but a few instances.

3.4. Control trials: morphology

We ran control trials for neuronal morphology by uniformly and non-uniformly altering the dendritic arbor. The morphology (and electrophysiology) of our reconstructed TCN is representative of rodent ventrobasal TCNs (Huguenard and Prince, 1992), whose diversity has been characterized in neuroanatomical studies (Ohara and Havton, 1994; Ohara et al., 1995). Because differences occur in overall size, not structure, the first set of alterations consisted of rescaling branch length and diameter to reflect the range of naturally occurring diversity.

Note that controlling for the range of diversity could not have been accomplished by the alternative approach of using several reconstructed neurons. A multi-reconstruction approach could not have extended results to neurons of other brain regions that have similar dendritic structure, but which fall outside the size range of TCNs. Lastly, scaling controls for systematic errors in reconstruction (staining, fixation, digitization, ...).

3.4.1. Rescalings

First, we uniformly decreased dendrite diameters by a factor of 1.5 and drew a minimal envelope containing the resulting mDYN rise time/peak amplitude data (Fig. 7). The thinned dendrites generated more variability, increasing the range from 3 to 5 pA, but thickening dendrite diameters by the same factor led to a decrease in the variability from 3 to 1.6 pA. These relatively small changes indicate that...
our results are robust against errors in this most difficult measurement in the 3D reconstruction process. Doubling the length of each branch increased the range of mDYN peak amplitudes from 3 to 5.7 pA. Similar changes were found in rise times (ordinates).

All three new morphologies generated a larger number of large amplitude mDYNs than small amplitude ones, as shown in the negatively skewed amplitude histograms in the lower panels. Such skew is in the opposite direction to the skew of in vitro mIPSC data sets.

3.4.2. Branch point geometry

Signal transmission depends heavily on dendritic branching patterns. The reconstructed TCN comprised of 179 branches connected by 52 two-descendant, 12 three-descendant, 2 four-descendant and 1 each of five-, six-, and seven-descendent branch points. Branching up to order 7 (mean and median: 4) can be seen in top panel of Fig. 8, where branch order is plotted as a function of distance from the soma. Of the 69 branch points, the median distance to the soma was 42 μm, as indicated by the first vertical line, which, as is characteristic of bushy arbors, is proximal to the distance that divides the net membrane area in half (67 μm, second vertical line).

In the background, the lengths and positions of 108 terminal branches are sorted by remoteness. As is characteristic of bushy arbors, the median length of terminal branches was 51 μm (range 5–195 μm), which was much longer than both the 16 μm median length of the other 71 branches (range 3–65 μm) and the 34 μm median length of all branches. Consequently, the median start distance of terminal branches was 51 μm.

A theoretical parameter relevant to dendritic processing is the ratio at branch points of dendrite diameters, given by \( \frac{d_{i}}{D} \) for daughter branch diameters \( d_{i} \) and parent branch diameter \( D \). Diameters of parent branches are indicated by the relative size crosses in the top panel of Fig. 8, and the rapid reduction in branch diameter—yet another characteristic of bushy arbors—is apparent.

Given these several characteristics, the proximal to distal trend is from small \( \frac{d_{i}}{D} \) ratios to large \( \frac{d_{i}}{D} \) ratios, ranging from 0.4 to 2.8 with a median of 1.5. They are plotted as a function of distance from the soma in the second panel of Fig. 8.
We created three new morphologies by rescaling diameters such that the 3/2 ratios were identical throughout the arbor and equal to either the unperturbed maximum, unperturbed minimum, or unity as indicated by the horizontal lines in the second panel. We then uniformly rescaled the diameters to regain the initial net area, which also ensured that the average diameter per unit length did not change.

Despite the wide range of 3/2 ratios in the reconstructed TCN, the new unity morphology yielded surprisingly similar mDYN peak amplitudes and rise times, as can be seen in the third panel. Interestingly, the maximum and minimum morphologies yielded different ranges of rise times but similar ranges of peak amplitudes when compared with each other.

Despite differences, all six control morphologies yielded mDYN peak amplitudes whose ranges were less than 10% of the range of in vitro data. Therefore, we conclude that our results are probably extensible to TCNs across nuclei and species: the mechanisms of resistive–capacitive filtering, local $R_{in}$, and space clamp quality play minor roles in synaptic variability in these cells.

3.5. Multiple quanta and heterogeneity

We address here two other sources of variability: multiple quanta and heterogeneous synapses.

3.5.1. Coincident events

A portion of the synaptic variability recorded in vitro may be due to temporal overlap of two or more quantal releases. We created such a double event dataset by reusing Paradigm 2 data. mDYNs from different locations were randomly paired, aligned by onset, then summed. Each mDYN was used exactly twice, creating 1416 of the more than 2 million possible double events. Thus we consider only maximal overlap, neglecting partial overlap and/or any facilitation/depression. Given the low frequency of minis in vitro and given the large number of GABAergic synapses received by individual TCNs, these are not significant sources of variability.

As evident in Fig. 9A, the resulting double event amplitude range did not overlap the single event range, indicating

\[ V_m - E_{syn} = 46 \text{ mV} \]

escape voltages diminish the net drive of the ions through the chloride channels by up to 40%. Perisynaptic transients differ between clamped and unclamped conditions by less than 2 mV, as indicated by the dashed line $y = x + 2$. Since this is less than 5% of the net driving force, it indicates that local $R_{in}$ has a much greater influence than space clamp quality. Second plot consisting of grey marks show the escape voltage peaks as a function of location for the unclamped scenario. (C) In vitro mIPSC data (Cox et al., 1997; LeFeuvre et al., 1997) re-plotted from Figs. 2D and 5D.
that alone, multiple events cannot account for in vitro variability. 

3.5.2. Gaussian peak conductances
We have used identical synapses spread throughout the arbor in all preceding assays. Here, we heterogenize the conductances, assigning each dendritic location a conductance peak drawn randomly from a Gaussian distribution corresponding to in vitro data (312 ± 0.22 pS) (Cox et al., 1997). Under identical conductance kinetics, $I_{\text{syn}}$ were triggered and their recordings paired as above. We then created a composite histogram, shown in Fig. 9A, by adjusting the proportion of single and double events ratio such that composite histogram resembled in vitro histograms. A 10:7 single-double ratio accomplished this.

Because the combined data spanned a contiguous range, multiple events from heterogeneous synapses could lead to an in vitro-like range of variability, potentially explaining the distribution of mPSC peak amplitude data. But considering the low frequency of minis in vitro (4 Hz), the requisite high proportion of multiple events relative to single events makes this an unlikely explanation.

3.5.3. Non-Gaussian peak conductances
We switched to a non-Gaussian distribution of conductances, using a lognormal distribution given by $\alpha = -0.08$ and $\beta = 0.6$, which yielded the 0–1.9 nS range of peak conductances displayed in Fig. 9B2. By design, resulting mDYNs ranged from 0 to 67 pA in peak amplitude and from 0.4 to 1.9 ms in rise time (Fig. 9B3), and collected into a histogram with an in vitro-like skew (Fig. 9B1).

If equivalent data had been obtained in vitro, the common procedure would have been to estimate the underlying peak conductances by dividing the recordings by the driving force, which in our assays was $V_{\text{hold}} - E_{\text{syn}} = 46 \text{ mV}$. This procedure leads to peak conductances ranging 0–1.5 nS, which is a 21% underestimation of the actual underlying heterogeneity.

3.5.4. Escape voltages
Lastly, we monitored the local voltage transients at the synapse to assess the effectiveness in the distal dendrites of a somatic voltage clamp carrying 1 MΩ. These escape voltages ranged up to 18 mV in amplitude, as shown in Fig. 9B4. They depended equally on the peak conductance (not shown) and on remoteness from the soma as can be seen in light grey in the background.

With the voltage clamp removed, another round of $I_{\text{syn}}$ were triggered. In this scenario, postsynaptic voltage transients differed from the corresponding escape voltages, but only for the 50% with the lowest amplitude, as indicated by the median crosshair in Fig. 9B4. No locations differed by more than 2 mV, which is less than 5% of the 46 mV peak driving force. For comparison, differences in local $R_d$ throughout the arbor led to an 18 mV range of transient peaks, which is ~40% of the maximal driving force. Thus variation in local $R_d$ generates eight times more variability in synaptic variability compared to space clamp quality. This underscores the previous result shown in Fig. 4E, which indicated that somatic $R_d$ is not a major determinant and that recording solutions are free to be chosen to produce other effects.

4. Discussion
We have contrasted the amplitude variability observed in vitro with our computational assays using rodent ventrobasal thalamocortical relay neurons (Fig. 1). Using identical pre-determined synaptic currents, resistive–capacitive filtering led robustly to approximately 1.5 pA of amplitude variability (Figs. 2 and 3). Using identical dynamic synaptic currents, resistive–capacitive filtering, local input resistance, and local space clamp quality led to ~3 pA of variability (Fig. 5). Under the same paradigm, variability in neurons twice this size (Fig. 7) was still an order of magnitude narrower than the in vitro range. We examined temporally overlapping events and Gaussian conductances. Neither was able to correctly expand the range, nor impart a skew that matched in vitro data. In vitro levels of variability were obtained only when synaptic conductances were non-Gaussian (Fig. 9B).

4.1. Distribution of synapses
Reconstructive electron microscopy studies of synapse distributions on feline TCNs have shown that length densities of inhibitory synapses decrease from proximal to distal regions by ~40% (bin width =10 μm) (Liu et al., 1995; Sato et al., 1997). Through reanalysis, we found that area density is relatively constant (Fig. 1B). It is unknown whether all of these inhibitory synapses are spontaneously active to equal extents.

Regardless of actual distribution and spontaneity, it is conservative to adopt a uniform area density of equally active synapses (as we have done here) since it leads to the broadest possible range of synaptic variability. Should studies reveal non-uniformity in rodents, then variability due to extra-synaptic factors would be more narrow than our present assessment. This would increase the strength of our basic conclusion that amplitude variability in TCNs is due to intra-synaptic organization. However, several extenuating situations are conceivable that center around the issue of skew.

4.2. Reversed skew
We ran single parameter control trials for morphological, biophysical and experimental variables (Fig. 3). In each, variability continued to be more than an order of magnitude narrower than in vitro variability (Fig. 1C). If multiple parameter controls had been run, joint influences may have widened the variability further. However, the baseline
histograms (Figs. 2C and 7C) revealed a preponderance of high amplitude events, which is the reverse of the in vitro skew (Fig. 3C). The preserved spatial relations in control trial data (Fig. 3) indicate that reversed skew is preserved; thus even if joint influences multiplicatively broadened the range to in vitro proportions, the skew would be incorrect.

Theoretically, there are synapse distribution/activity patterns capable of countering the propensity towards a reversed skew. An active population comprising (i) a few percent of proximal synapses, (ii) most intermediate synapses, and (iii) no distal synapses would be an example. Uniform participation of this subpopulation would yield a correctly skewed amplitude histogram, but would require at least 50% of the inhibitory synapses to be spontaneously inactive and would result in a more narrow variability range. Nonetheless, if one assumes that influences combine multiplicatively, then such synapse patterns might yield amplitude variability on the scale of in vitro data and with the correct skew. In this event in vitro variability may not depend on intra-synaptic organization.

4.3. Differential activation

Because space clamp quality in intermediate and distal dendrites was degraded, large perisynaptic voltage transients were seen (Fig. 9B4). Such transients might activate voltage gated currents. Since their amplitudes were site dependent, this would result in differential activation, which would alter amplitude variability, possibly increasing it. In TCNs, candidate currents include \( I_{k_c} \), \( I_{k_2} \), \( I_{h} \), and \( I_{Na_p} \), as well as currents carried by \( Ca^{2+} \), notably the low threshold calcium T-current \( I_T \) (Coulter et al., 1989; Crunelli et al., 1989; LeFeuvre et al., 1996; Leresche, 1992; Ulrich and Huguenard, 1996; Zhang et al., 1997).

We considered multiple events in combination with Gaussian conductances. Based on the mean and variance of in vitro data (Cox et al., 1997) quantal events had a contiguous range of amplitudes (Fig. 9A). However, multiple events would need to be 70% as frequent as single events to yield the correct skew. If we assume independence among spontaneous events, such a ratio is not feasible given the low frequency of events in in vitro data.

The assumption of independence deserves discussion since multiple vesicle releases would be masked if their timing were coordinated to provide simultaneous releases. In this case, the 4 Hz rate could not be used to argue against a large proportion of multiple simultaneous events.

One potential coordination mechanism would be the existence of multiple synapses per afferent neuron. Since a single thalamic reticular neurons forms many synapses on each target TCN, action potentials initiating spontaneously nearly anywhere in the axon could coordinate release at a number of synapses. In the present analysis, this mechanism is ruled by the bath application of TTX during recordings.

A second potential mechanism would be the desynchronization in the presynaptic bouton of intra-cellular calcium concentrations. This mechanism would be a factor at boutons that support multiple release sites. While multiple release sites cannot be ruled out, disruption of calcium regulation would likely be catastrophic given the numerous cascades initiated by elevated concentrations.

4.5. Conclusion

Having examined voltage activated currents, resistive–capacitive filtering, local input resistance, space clamp quality, temporally overlapping events, and Gaussian heterogeneities, our overall finding is that none of these factors, either alone or in aggregate, is able to explain the distribution of quantal event amplitudes that are observed in vitro. We obtained the width, skew and unimodal nature of in vitro data only when we switched to non-Gaussian distributions of peak conductance (Fig. 9B). Our trials with...
altered morphologies and biophysical parameters extended these findings to thalamocortical general.

The rodent somatosensory system has important features that will be advantageous in future studies of synaptic vari-
ability. First, inhibition in the ventrobasal complex derives
from a single type of GABAergic neuron, which comprise the thalamic reticular nucleus. They are its only neuronal
type (Benson et al., 1992; Harris et al., 1997; Houser et al.,
1980; Ohara and Lieberman, 1993; Williams and Faull,
1987). Second, depending on the animal’s behavioral state,
thalamic inhibition arises one of dramatically
different functions. During drowsiness and non-REM sleep,
inhibitory inputs to thalamocortical neurons provide phasic hyperpolarizations which initiate the rebound low thresh-
old spikes that are essential to sleep oscillations. During wakefulness, the inhibition is part of the processing of sen-
sory information as it passes through the thalamus before reaching the neocortex. Compared to other systems, the two
distinct roles place twice the constraint on the functional role that intra-synaptic heterogeneity may play in networks.
The rodent somatosensory thalamus is thus a prime can-
didate for molecular genetic approaches of intra-synaptic
heterogeneity and of heterogeneity’s role in brain function
at higher levels of CNS organization.
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